

Attorney Docket No. 33983/400100

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 13 2005

Applicant: Monteverde)

Serial Number: 10/064,944)

Filing Date: August 30, 2002)

For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
PREDICTING ADDITIONAL
SEARCH RESULTS OF A
COMPUTERIZED DATABASE
SEARCH USER BASED ON AN
INITIAL SEARCH QUERY**)

Group Art Unit: 2171

Confirmation No.: 3631

Examiner: Nguyen, Cindy

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent
and Trademark Office, Technology Center 2100,
facsimile number 703-872-9306, on April 13,
2005.

RoseAnn White 4-13-05
RoseAnn White Date

Date: April 13, 2005

Mail Stop Amendments
Commissioner of Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Dear Sir:

This paper is in response to the Interview Summary, mailed April 8, 2005, for the above-captioned application. On March 30, 2005, the applicant's undersigned attorney and the examiner conducted a telephone interview pertaining to the office action mailed on December 14, 2004, to which the applicant has already filed an amendment and response on April 7, 2005. During the telephone interview, the examiner and the applicant's attorney discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the Klein reference, of which the examiner has relied upon to support her § 102(e) rejection. Contrary to the examiner's Interview Summary, an agreement *was not reached* with respect to the claims. It is the applicant's position that the examiner is misreading Klein, and is specifically reading limitations into Klein which do not exist. The applicant respectfully asserts that the examiner's position that "Klein clearly discloses

the limitations in col. 12, lines 27-42" is misplaced. Nonetheless, the applicant has amended the claims, as set forth in the amendment filed on April 7, 2005, which are clearly patentable over Klein.


CONCLUSION

In summary, the applicant respectfully asserts that the claims, as amended in the amendment filed on April 7, 2005, are considered patentable and are in a condition for allowance. Therefore, allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
55 East Monroe Street, 42nd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603-5803
phone: (312)346-8000
facsimile: (312)269-8869
customer number: 27717


Joseph R. Lanser
Reg. No. 44,860
Attorneys for Assignee